2018 VLSI TECHNOLOGY SYMPOSIUM HONOLULU

2018 VLSI CIRCUITS SYMPOSIUM HONOLULU

Navion: A Fully Integrated Energy-Efficient Visual-Inertial Odometry Accelerator for Autonomous Navigation of Nano Drones

Amr Suleiman, Zhengdong Zhang, Luca Carlone, Sertac Karaman, and Vivienne Sze

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

http://navion.mit.edu/

Motivation: Autonomous Navigation

Self Driving Cars

UAVs: Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

Robots



[images] Electrek, Amazon, Knightscope, Boston Dynamics

How Does Autonomous Navigation Work?

Perception

Motion Planning

Control

How Does Autonomous Navigation Work?

Perception

Motion Planning

Control

Perception is the computation bottleneck

Challenges: High Dimensionality

- **Large amount of data**
  - Sensors data: High resolution & frame rates
  - Data expansion: Image pyramid





Challenges: High Dimensionality

- **Large amount of data**
  - Sensors data: High resolution & frame rates
  - Data expansion: Image pyramid





- **Growing map size**

[T. Pire et al., 2017]

Challenges: Low Power Budget

Big battery

Mobile CPU, GPU

Challenges: Low Power Budget

Big battery

Mobile CPU, GPU

For example:



Insect-scale UAV (100mg)

Lifting	Cameras	CPU, GPU
100 mW	100 mW	10 – 100 W

Navion: Energy-Efficient Visual-Inertial Odometry



- Energy-efficient & real-time localization and mapping
- Process stereo images at up to 171 fps
- 24 mW average power consumption

Outline

- Localization & Mapping: Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)
- Chip Architecture
- Main Contributions
- Chip Specifications and Comparisons
- Summary

Localization and Mapping Using VIO



Image sequence

IMU

Inertial Measurement Unit



Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)

Localization and Mapping Using VIO



Image sequence

IMU

Inertial Measurement Unit



Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)

Localization





Mapping

Localization and Mapping Using VIO



Image sequence

IMU

Inertial Measurement Unit



Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)

Localization





Mapping

Subset of SLAM algorithms
(Simultaneous Localization And Mapping)

VIO: Frontend





Process mono/stereo Images

VIO: Frontend





Process mono/stereo Images

- Detect & track features (L_i)

VIO: Frontend



Process mono/stereo Images

- Detect & track features (L_i)
- Generate ***Feature Tracks*** -> (keyframe IDs & feature coordinates)

VIO: Frontend



Feature Tracks







VIO: Frontend

Vision Frontend (VFE)

Feature Tracks

Estimated States

IMU Frontend (IFE)









VIO: Frontend

Vision Frontend (VFE)

Feature Tracks

Estimated States

IMU Frontend (IFE)









... Gyro. & Acc. Measurements

State:

Pose (Rotation **R**)

Location (Translation **T**)

VIO: Backend


Camera
Vision Frontend (VFE)
Feature Tracks
Estimated States
Backend (BE)
IMU Frontend (IFE)
IMU

VIO: Backend





Update states (x_i) to minimize inconsistencies between measurements across time

VIO: Backend



$$\min_{x} \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right) \right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^l, u_{ik}^r\right) \right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x) \right\|^2$$

IMU Factors *Vision Factors* *Other Factors*

Factor Graph



VIO: Backend



$$\min_{x} \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right) \right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^l, u_{ik}^r\right) \right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x) \right\|^2$$

IMU Factors *Vision Factors* *Other Factors*



Outline

- Localization & Mapping: Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)
- Chip Architecture
- Main Contributions
- Chip Specifications and Comparisons
- Summary

Navion Chip Architecture



No off-chip storage or processing

VFE: All Image Processing



- Fixed point arithmetic
- Parallel/pipelined image processing
- Mono & stereo cameras
- Runs at the sensor rate (up to 171 fps)

- **Outputs at keyframe rate:** Feature tracks

IFE: IMU Preintegration

- Double precision arithmetic
- Low cost: 2.4% area & 1.2% power
- Runs at the sensor rate (up to 52 kHz)
- **Outputs at keyframe rate:** Estimated state



BE: Fusing Sensors Data

- Double precision arithmetic
- Complex Finite State Machine (FSM)
- Runs at the keyframe rate (up to 90 fps)
- **Outputs at keyframe rate:** Updated state & 3D map



VIO Full Integration Challenges

- Vision Frontend (VFE)
  - Heterogeneous computation modules
    - Feature detection
    - Feature tracking
    - Stereo matching
    - Outliers rejection using RANSAC
    - …

VIO Full Integration Challenges

- Vision Frontend (VFE)
  - Heterogeneous computation modules
    - Feature detection
    - Feature tracking
    - Stereo matching
    - Outliers rejection using RANSAC
    - …
- Backend (BE)
  - High dimensional and complex data structures
    - Large optimization problem (more than 4000 factors)
    - Dynamically changing factor graph
    - High computation precision (64-bit floating point)

Outline

- Localization & Mapping: Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)
- Chip Architecture
- Main Contributions
- Chip Specifications and Comparisons
- Summary

Enabling Full Integration



Enabling Full Integration



Enabling Full Integration



Use compression and exploit sparsity

Method 1

Data Compression

Frame Buffer: Image Compression

- Block-wise Lossy Image Compression



8 bit/ pixel



Original (352.5 kB)

Frame Buffer: Image Compression

- Block-wise Lossy Image Compression



Frame Buffer: Image Compression

- Block-wise Lossy Image Compression



Lossy Image Compression:

4.4x Memory size reduction

Used only in Feature tracking & Sparse stereo

Method 2

Exploit Sparsity

(Structured & Unstructured)

Linear Solver memory: Structured Sparsity

$$\min_{x} \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right) \right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^{l}, u_{ik}^{r}\right) \right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x) \right\|^2$$

Linearize

$$\boldsymbol{H}\delta = \acute{\epsilon}$$

Solve a large linear system for δ



Linear Solver memory: Structured Sparsity

$$\min_{x} \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right) \right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^l, u_{ik}^r\right) \right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x) \right\|^2$$

Linearize

$$H\delta = \acute{\epsilon}$$

Solve a large linear system for δ



H is:
- Symmetric



Linear Solver memory: Structured Sparsity

$$\min_x \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right)\right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^l, u_{ik}^r\right)\right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x)\right\|^2$$

Linearize

$$H\delta = \acute{\epsilon}$$

Solve a large linear system for δ



H is:

- Symmetric
- Sparse

(Black: non zero)



Linear Solver memory: Structured Sparsity

$$\min_{x} \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}\left(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}\right)\right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}\left(x, l_k, u_{ik}^{l}, u_{ik}^{r}\right)\right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x)\right\|^2$$

Linearize

$$H\delta = \acute{\epsilon}$$

Solve a large linear system for δ



H is:

- Symmetric
- Sparse

(Black: non zero)





Linear Solver memory: Structured Sparsity

$$\min_x \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}} \left\| r_{\text{IMU}}(x, \Delta\tilde{R}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{p}_{ij}, \Delta\tilde{v}_{ij}) \right\|^2 + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}_k} \left\| r_{\text{CAM}}(x, l_k, u_{ik}^l, u_{ik}^r) \right\|^2 + \left\| r_{\text{PRIOR}}(x) \right\|^2$$

Linearize

$$H\delta = \acute{\varepsilon}$$

Storing symmetric non-zero values:

5.2x Memory size reduction

Skip processing zeros:

7.2x Speed up



(Black: non zero)





Feature Tracks: Unstructured Sparsity

- Feature Tracks accounts for 88% of the Graph memory



Feature Tracks: Unstructured Sparsity

- Feature Tracks accounts for 88% of the Graph memory



One Memory (962 kB)

Feature Tracks: Unstructured Sparsity

- Feature Tracks accounts for 88% of the Graph memory





One Memory (962 kB)

Two-stage Memory (177 kB)

Feature Tracks: Unstructured Sparsity

- Feature Tracks accounts for 88% of the Graph memory

Feature tracks two-stage storage:

5.4x Memory size reduction

Overhead:

1 extra cycle access latency



One Memory (962 kB)

Two-stage Memory (177 kB)

Outline

- Localization & Mapping: Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)
- Chip Architecture
- Main Contributions
- Chip Specifications and Comparisons
- Summary

Navion Chip



Technology	65nm CMOS
Chip area (mm^2)	4.0 x 5.0
Logic gates	2,043 kgates
Resolution	752 x 480
SRAM	854 kB
Camera rate	**28 - 171 fps**
Keyframe rate	**16 - 90 fps**
Average Power	**24 mW**
GOPS	10.5 – 59.1
GFLOPS	1 – 5.7

Memory Optimization





Navion System Demo



Navion chip PCB Xilinx Zynq FPGA Board Results

Navion Evaluation

- EuRoC dataset
  - A very challenging, and widely used UAV dataset
  - 11 sequences with three categories: easy, medium & difficult




Examples of easy Sequences


Dark scenes



Motion blur

Examples of difficult Sequences

Navion Evaluation

- Average numbers over the 11 EuRoC dataset sequences

Platform	Xeon (E5-2667)	ARM (Cortex A15)	Navion
Trajectory Error (%)	0.22%		0.28%
Camera rate (fps)	63	19	**71**
Keyframe rate (fps)	12	2	**19**
Average Power (W)	27.9	2.4	**0.024**
Energy (nJ/pixel)	2,531	1,094	**1.6**

Navion Evaluation

- Average numbers over the 11 EuRoC dataset sequences

Platform	Xeon (E5-2667)	ARM (Cortex A15)	Navion
Trajectory Error (%)	0.22%		0.28%
Camera rate (fps)	63	19	**71**
Keyframe rate (fps)	12	2	**19**
Average Power (W)	27.9	2.4	**0.024**
Energy (nJ/pixel)	2,531	1,094	**1.6**

Navion Energy:

684x less than embedded ARM CPU

1,582x less than server Xeon CPU

Outline

- Localization & Mapping: Visual-Inertial Odometry (VIO)
- Chip Architecture
- Main Contributions
- Chip Specifications and Comparisons
- Summary

Summary

- First **full integration** of VIO pipeline on chip for robot perception

Summary

- First **full integration** of VIO pipeline on chip for robot perception
- Leverage compression and sparsity to reduce memory size
  - **4.4x** reduction with image compression
  - **5.2x** reduction with structured sparsity in linear solver
  - **5.4x** reduction with unstructured sparsity in feature tracks

Summary

- First **full integration** of VIO pipeline on chip for robot perception
- Leverage compression and sparsity to reduce memory size
  - **4.4x** reduction with image compression
  - **5.2x** reduction with structured sparsity in linear solver
  - **5.4x** reduction with unstructured sparsity in feature tracks
- Navion is **2 to 3 orders of magnitude** more energy efficient than CPU

Summary

- First **full integration** of VIO pipeline on chip for robot perception
- Leverage compression and sparsity to reduce memory size
  - **4.4x** reduction with image compression
  - **5.2x** reduction with structured sparsity in linear solver
  - **5.4x** reduction with unstructured sparsity in feature tracks
- Navion is **2 to 3 orders of magnitude** more energy efficient than CPU

Acknowledgment

AFOSR YIP and NSF CAREER

Questions



http://navion.mit.edu/