

133

ADDENDUM TO PRINCE'S "METRICAL FORMS"

MORRIS HALLE

*Department of Linguistics and Philosophy
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts*

In the huge area stretching from Spain in the West to Indonesia and the Philippines in the East, which has been and continues to be under the cultural and religious dominance of Islam, the meters of classical Arabic poetry were utilized by poets writing verses in languages other than Arabic. One of the earliest examples of this adaptation of Arabic meters is the Hebrew poetry produced by the Jewish poets living in Spain and Provence during the more than five hundred years that ended with the expulsion of Jews from Spain in 1492. It is generally agreed by specialists that the Arabic meters were introduced into Hebrew about 950 by Dunash ben Labrat (see Allony 1951:21). Dunash, who was born about 920 in Fez, Morocco, studied with Saadyah Gaon (882–942), head of the Jewish academy at Sura in Babylonia, and came to Spain where he was in the service of Hisdai Ibn Shaprut, the Jewish minister of the caliphs of Cordova. Dunash adopted, essentially intact, the different meters codified by the Arab scholar al-Xalil, but modified the correspondence rules between the abstract entities of the meters and the syllables of actual lines of verse.

As noted by Prince, the basic phonetic distinction utilized for implementing the meters in Arabic was that between syllables with nonbranching rhymes, represented by a breve (˘), and syllables with branching rhymes, represented

by a macron (¯). Because branching and nonbranching rhymes are distributed quite differently in the words of Hebrew than they are in those of Arabic, this distinction was not viable for the implementation of the meters in Hebrew. Dunash, therefore, based the implementation on a somewhat different principle.

It is well known that in the Hebrew orthography, each consonant in the word is represented by a single letter. Vowels, on the other hand, are not consistently represented in the orthography. The vowels are omitted in the orthography in certain cases; in other cases, the vowels are represented by consonant letters—the so-called *matres lectionis*; such vowels are indicated in our transcription with a circumflex. The omission is exceptionless for the schwa vowel and for its morphophonemic variants (*ḥaṭapîm*) (see M. Rappaport 1984); these are never represented in the orthography. Since Hebrew syllables (with one exception discussed below) must all begin with an onset consisting of a single consonant, closed syllables will be represented orthographically by a sequence of two letters. Open syllables with full vowels will also be represented by a sequence of two letters whenever the full vowel is transcribed by a *mater lectionis*. Open syllables with the schwa vowel or with one of its morphophonemic variants are thus the only class of syllable that is invariably represented by a single letter. This division into one-letter syllables versus syllables with two or more letters was terminologically recognized by the medieval grammarians: they referred to open syllables ending with schwa or its congeners as SLAVES (Hebrew *šābādîm*), whereas all other syllables—that is, those that are at least potentially two-letter syllables in the orthography—were termed KINGS (Hebrew *mōlakim*).¹ It is on this distinction that Dunash based his metrical correspondence rules. He postulated that only a syllable of the slave class could implement a breve, whereas a macron could only be implemented by a syllable of the king class.

Although the distinction parallels the phonetic distinction between syllables whose rhymes consist of ultrashort vowels and all other syllables, the essence of the distinction is orthographic rather than strictly phonetic. We see this more clearly when we examine the metrical treatment of the vocalized form of the conjunction *w*, ‘and.’ Before words beginning with a labialized consonant or with a consonant sequence, this morpheme is actualized as the full vowel [u] and is represented in the orthography with the *mater lectionis*, *waw*. Thus,

¹ Allony (1951:38) writes: “The seven nuclei (*tmuṣot*) that were called ‘kings’ were all equivalent (metrically) and occurred only in open and long syllables, or more accurately in closed syllables, because according to our medieval scholars there is after them one of the *matres lectionis* . . . REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE LETTER IS WRITTEN OR NOT” (my emphasis).

- (4) a.
- basit*
- KKP KP KKP KP
- ²

Samuel Hanagid (993–1056)
(Shirman 1959:131)

---/---/---/---

ʔāmra: “šəmaḥ bašābūr higgīšākā ʔēl ʔēlē
 ‘She said: “Rejoice that God has brought you to
 šānīm ḥāmiššīm bəšōlāmāk!” wəlō yādšā”
 the fiftieth year in your life!” and did not know’

- b.
- basit*
- variant KKP KP KKP

Moshe Ibn Ezra
(Shirman 1959:370)

---/---/---

daddē yəpat tōʔar layil ḥābōq
 ‘Caress at night the breasts of her of beautiful form
ūšpat yəpat marʔē yômām nəšōq
 and kiss all day the lips of her of beautiful appearance’

- (5)
- mujtaθθ*
- KQK KPK KQK KPK

Shelomo Ibn Gabirol (1021–after 1055)
(Shirman 1959:208)

---/---/---/---

hamšat ḥəyōtī bətōk šām yaḥšob šəmōlō yəmānī
 ‘Is it a small thing for me to be among people who think that left is
 right?’

There was opposition to Dunash ben Labrat’s proposals almost from the moment of their becoming public knowledge. Part of the opposition was personally motivated because Dunash was involved in a bitter dispute with the scholar Menahem ben Saruq (see Dubnov 1936:165). Yet another part of the opposition was motivated by chauvinism and by an unreasoning conservatism opposed to all change as a matter of principle. “May we be preserved . . . from going beyond the boundaries of our forefathers and destroying the house of the ancients . . .” wrote the disciples of Menahem in their response to Dunash (see Allony 1951:104).

It is, however, not without significance that among the opponents and critics of Dunash’s proposals were Yehuda Hayyuj (ca.940–ca.1010), one of the most important students of the Hebrew language, and Yehuda Halevi, the author of some of the greatest poetry in the Hebrew language. They objected on the grounds that the Arabic meters were inappropriate for verse written in Hebrew because of the vast differences in the languages. Since a large part of

²The last P in the second line is represented by a single syllable of the king type.

Yehuda Halevi's poetry is written in conformity with Dunash's metrical scheme, this objection can hardly be taken at face value. It must, nonetheless, be admitted that the Arabic meters do not constitute a natural development within Hebrew poetry, which at that time had a history of well over 2,500 years. It is, therefore, not surprising that Hebrew poets writing in these meters were also experimenting with other metrical schemes. Two of these seem to me worth commenting on here.

Both schemes are based on the above classification of syllables into those represented in the orthography invariably by a single letter and those that may be represented by two or more letters, but unlike the Arabic meters, the arrangement of the syllables in this sequence is not significant; only their number counts. In (6), only king syllables may be utilized in the verse; slave syllables (i.e., syllables with ultrashort vowels) are systematically excluded in this kind of verse.

- (6) eight king syllables per line Moshe Ibn Ezra
(Shirman 1959:371)

kotnôt passím lābāš haggan
 'the garden has put on a striped coat
úksút riqmā maddé dišṓ
 and its raiments of grass were like robes of embroidery'

In the second variant of syllable-counting verse, slave syllables are admitted but not counted in the verse. We illustrate this in (7) with lines taken from Yehuda Halevi's octosyllabic poem "The Storm at Sea" (see Shirman 1959:506). As shown, actual lines can have as few as eight syllables (7b) and as many as 13 syllables (7c).

- (7) a. *wəhoṓōnī ḥólā yōrdā wəṣólā*
 'And the vessel is weak, it rises and falls
wəṣayin tólā ləḥóblīm ṓayyām
 and the eye looks up for the sailors, where are they?'
 b. *ṓānā ṓēlēk mēruḥekā*
 'whither shall I go from your spirit?'
 c. *wərəpū ḥāzāqīm, wənehləqū ṓāpīqīm*
 'And strong men grew weak, and river beds split'

This type of syllable-counting meter resembles the classical meters of the Romance languages (see Halle and Keyser 1980) as well as those found in a limited number of biblical poems (see Halle and McCarthy 1981, and Halle 1987). The Judeo-Spanish meters differ, however, from both Romance and biblical meters in that they do not stop the count with the last stressed syllable in the line, but include the posttonic syllables as well. This is illustrated in (8).

(8) octosyllabic

Moshe Ibn Ezra
(Shirman 1959:401)*yizkôr geber biymê hayyāw / kî lammāwet hû lāqūah*

'Let the youth remember in the days of his life / that he is being taken to death'

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to J. McCarthy, A. Prince, and M. Rappaport for their assistance and advice. Errors of fact and interpretation are my responsibility.

REFERENCES

- Allony, N. (1951) *Tôrat hamišqalim šel Dīnaš, Yəhūdā ha-Lewī, wəʔabraham ʔibn ʕezrā*. Jerusalem.
- Carmi, T., ed. (1981) *The Penguin Book of Hebrew Verse*, Viking Penguin, New York.
- Dubnov, S. (1936) *Istorija evreev v Evrope I*, Džīve un Kultura, Riga.
- Halle, M. (1987) "A Biblical Pattern Poem," in N. Fabb, D. Attridge, A. Durant, and C. McCabe, eds., *The Linguistics of Writing*, pp. 67–75, Manchester University Press, Manchester.
- Halle, M. and S. J. Keyser (1980) "Metrica," *Enciclopedia Einaudi*, IX, Einaudi, Torino.
- Halle, M. and J. McCarthy (1981) "The Metrical Structure of Psalm 137," *Journal of Biblical Literature*, 100(2), 161–167.
- Rappaport, M. (1984) *Issues in the Phonology of Tiberian Hebrew*, Doctoral dissertation, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Shirman, H. (1959) *Hašīrā haʕibrīt bisparad ūbəprōwans*, Bialik Institute and Dvir, Jerusalem and Tel Aviv.