1

Lecture Notes on Fluid Dynamics (1.63J/2.21J) by Chiang C. Mei, 2004

chapter

7.2 Taylor -Proudman theorem and Vorticity in inviscid rotating fluids

We first show that in a steady rotating flow of inviscidand homogeneous fluid, if the Rossby number is smal, then the flow is essentially two diemensional. This is known as the Taylor-Proudman theorm.

Under these conditions, the momentum equation reads,

$$2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{q} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} \tag{7.2.1}$$

Taking the curl of both sides we get

$$\nabla \times (\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{q}) = 0 \tag{7.2.2}$$

Using the identity

$$\nabla \times \left(\vec{A} \times \vec{B}\right) = \vec{A} \nabla \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{B} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vec{A} - \vec{A} \cdot \nabla \vec{B}$$
(7.2.3)

we get

$$\vec{\Omega}\nabla\cdot\vec{q}-\vec{q}\nabla\cdot\vec{\Omega}+\vec{q}\cdot\nabla\vec{\Omega}-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\nabla\vec{q}=0$$

Invoking continuity and the constancy of Ω we obtain

$$\hat{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \vec{q} = 0 \tag{7.2.4}$$

Thus the velocity field does not vary in the direction of Ω , say z. Note that \vec{q} can still have three components, but they must all be independent of z. This is the

Theorem 1 Taylor-Providman theorem : A steady and slow flow in a rotating fluid is twodimensional in the plane perpendicular to the vector of angular velocity.

Laboratory verification has been demonstrated in a setup shown in figure 7.2.1.

More generally, let us consider the vortity transport in a rotating and invoscid fluid. Let $\vec{\zeta} = \nabla \times \vec{q}$ and use the identity

$$\vec{\zeta} \times \vec{q} = \vec{q} \cdot \nabla \vec{q} - \nabla \frac{|\vec{q}|^2}{2}$$



Fig. 13.6 G. I. Taylor's experiment in a strongly rotating flow of a homogeneous fluid.

Figure 7.2.1: Taylor's experiment showing the Taylor column above a truncated cylinder in a rotating fluid. The large container with water rotates but the cylinder is fixed in space. From Kundu.

The mommentum equation can be written :

$$\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t} + \vec{\zeta} \times \vec{q} + 2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{q} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \nabla \left(\phi - \frac{|\vec{q}|^2}{2}\right)$$
(7.2.5)

Taking the curl of the above equation:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{\zeta}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \left((2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta}) \times \vec{q} \right) = \frac{\nabla \rho \times \nabla p}{\rho^2}$$

Using the identity (7.2.3), we get

$$\nabla \times \left\{ (2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta}) \times \vec{q} \right\} = -\vec{q}\nabla \cdot (2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta}) + (2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta})\nabla \cdot \vec{q} + \vec{q} \cdot \nabla(2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta}) - (2\vec{\Omega} + \vec{\zeta}) \cdot \nabla \vec{q}$$

The first term on the right vanishes because $\vec{\Omega} = \text{constant}$ and the divergence of curl is zero; the second vanishes for incompressible fluids. Let $\vec{\zeta}_a = \vec{\zeta} + 2\vec{\Omega} = \text{absolute vorticity}$

$$\frac{D\vec{\zeta}}{Dt} = \frac{\partial\vec{\zeta}}{\partial t} + \vec{q} \cdot \nabla\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\zeta}_a \cdot \nabla\vec{q} + \frac{\nabla\rho \times \nabla p}{\rho^2}$$
(7.2.6)

In a fluid of constant density and a steady flow of small Rossby number

$$\epsilon = \text{Rossby No.} = \frac{u}{2\Omega L} \ll 1$$

then

$$\frac{\zeta}{2\Omega} = \sim \frac{u}{2\Omega L} \ll 1$$

(7.2.6) reduces to (7.2.4).